

SACRAMENTO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of June 21, 2011

Agenda

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call
- II. Pledge of Allegiance
- III. Approval of the Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of May 17, 2011
Approval of the Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of May 17, 2011
- IV. Adoption of Agenda
- V. Official Correspondence
- VI. Visitor Presentations
 - A. General Public
 - B. Employee Organizations
- VII. Superintendent's Report
- VIII. New Business
 - A. Adoption of Consent Agenda
 1. Report on Personnel Transactions
 - B. Approval of Contracts
 - C. Authorization to Submit Grant Applications/Service Contracts and Accept Funding if Awarded; and Approval of Contracts, Positions, and Other Expenditures Associated with the Grants as Outlined in the Proposed Budgets
 1. \$3,369,966 OTAN grant from the California Department of Education for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013
 2. \$677,812 Regional System of District and School Support (RSDSS) grant from the California Department of Education – No Child Left Behind funds for the period July 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012
 3. \$82,049 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (TUPE) Administration County Technical Assistance and Leadership grant from the California Department of Education for the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
 4. \$56,647 Local Child Care Development Planning Council grant from the California Department of Education, Child Development Programs for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
 5. \$382,598 Child Care Salary/Retention Program grant from the California Department of Education, Child Development Division for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
 6. \$271,952 California Preschool Instructional Network grant from the California Department of Education, Federal Child Development funds for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
 7. \$279,927 California Preschool Instructional Network Regional grant from the California Department of Education, Federal Child Development Funds for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
 - D. Approval of the 2011-2012 Consolidated Application (Part I) for Funding Categorical Aid Programs for Juvenile Court, Community Schools and Special Education
 - E. Approval of 2010-2011 Budget Revision No. 3

- F. Public Hearing and Adoption of the 2011-2012 Proposed Budget
 - G. Board Report – Update on District Budgets
 - IX. Board Reports, Comments, and Ideas
 - A. Board Members
 - B. Board President
 - C. Committees
 - X. Items for Distribution
 - A. June/July Events
 - B. June/July Visits
 - XI. Schedule for Future Board Meetings:
 - A. July 12, 2011 – Annual Organizational Meeting
 - XII. Adjournment
-

I. President Fong called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m. in the Board Room of the David P. Meaney Education Center, Sacramento Office of Education, 10474 Mather Boulevard, Mather, California. Board members present were Greg Geeting, Brian Rivas, Harold Fong, Eleanor Brown, John Scribner, and Jackie Levy. Also present were David W. Gordon, Superintendent and Secretary to the Board; Sue Stickel, Deputy Superintendent; Teresa Stinson, General Counsel; Robin Pierson, Joyce Wright, Tammy Sanchez, Tim Taylor, and John Fleischman, Assistant Superintendents; Judy Holsinger, SELPA Executive Director; Effie Crush, Chief Administrator-Human Resources; Tim Herrera, Director of Communications; Dan Leonard, SCOETA; Bill Mullen, CSEA; other staff and visitors; and Carla Miller, Recording Secretary. Trustee Cooley was not present at the time of the roll call.

II. President Fong led the Pledge of Allegiance.

President Fong announced that the Board would now recess into Closed Session, under Government Code section 54956.9(b), to confer with legal counsel regarding pending litigation. Specifically, the California Teachers Association has filed a government tort claim regarding the Sacramento County Board of Education’s approval of the Fortune School of Education Countywide Charter Petition. The Sacramento County Board of Education has provided public notice that the Board will meet in Closed Session to conduct this business and take action as deemed necessary and appropriate.

Recessed Open Session at 6:15 p.m.

Convened Closed Session at 6:18 p.m.

Adjourned Closed Session at 6:41 p.m.

Reconvened Open Session at 6:44 p.m.

President Fong announced that no action was taken in Closed Session.

III. On a motion by Mr. Geeting and seconded by Ms. Levy, the minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of May 17, 2011 and the revised minutes of the Special Board

Meeting of May 17, 2011 were approved. Motion carried unanimously.

IV. Mr. Scribner moved to adopt the agenda. Mr. Rivas seconded the motion, which carried.

V. There was no official correspondence.

VI.A. There were no requests for visitor presentations from the general public.

VI.B. There were no requests for presentations from employee organizations.

VII.A. Superintendent Gordon reported on the following:

- Thanked former Sacramento Monarch and two-time Olympic Gold Medal winner Ruthie Bolton for serving as commencement speaker at our community school graduation on June 16 at Sierra Health Foundation. We honored 28 students from Hickey, North Area, Gerber, Marian Anderson and the Boys and Girls Club. He also thanked Sierra Health Foundation CEO Chet Hewett for hosting our graduation in such a beautiful setting. Our thanks to Trustees Levy and Geeting for helping us honor the graduates. On June 8, we hosted our first GED ceremony for students who participated in our Boys and Girls Club Program. The event at the Palmiter campus highlighted the successful completion of more than 20 SCOE students receiving GEDs. Our thanks to Trustee Geeting for participating. On June 9, we held a ceremony for graduates of our ROP Chiropractic Assistant program. During that ceremony at the Washington Unified School District, we honored 11 graduates. Our thanks to Trustees Levy and Geeting for participating.
- On June 20, we hosted our annual Shining Moments ceremony to recognize the men and women who successfully completed their programs through the SCBC (Sacramento Community Based Coalition). The event at the Holiday Inn Sacramento was a big success. Participants were honored for completing different phases of the program and received GEDs as well as LINKS awards. Our thanks to Trustees Levy and Geeting for attending that event.
- Invited everyone to attend the ceremony honoring the first group of graduates from our Leadership Institute, our Administrator Preparation program overseen by Dr. Steve Winlock. It is this Saturday, June 25, 2011. Participants will be presenting their final projects throughout the day. The formal graduation will begin at 1:30 p.m. in the Mather Room, across the hall, with a reception immediately following. We have 28 graduates this year. We expect nearly 30 participants next year, and we will also operate a new cohort in the Elk Grove USD for roughly 20-30 participants as well.

- Reminded everyone that we only have two Board meetings over the next two summer months. The Board will meet on July 12, 2011 and August 16, 2011. SCOE offices will be closed on Monday, July 4, 2011 for the Independence Day holiday.

VIII.A. Ms. Levy moved and Ms. Brown seconded adoption of the consent agenda. Motion carried unopposed. By such action, the Board:

1. Accepted Report on Personnel Transactions

VIII.B. Mr. Geeting moved and Mr. Rivas seconded approval of the contracts as listed. Motion to approve the contracts carried unopposed.

Ms. Levy asked if the translations are legally required for the Special Education documents. Dr. Robin Pierson, Assistant Superintendent, replied that they are federal requirements.

Mr. Rivas asked about the contract with School Services. It says 95% of the cost is paid by districts. He asked whether we share the costs with all the districts in the county for that contract and asked if he could get a copy of the scope of work.

VIII.C. On a motion by Mr. Cooley, seconded by Ms. Levy and carried, the Board authorized staff to submit the revised grant applications/service contracts and accepted funding if awarded; and approved contracts, positions, and other expenditures associated with the grants as outlined in the proposed budgets as follows:

1. \$3,369,966 OTAN grant from the California Department of Education for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013
2. \$677,812 Regional System of District and School Support (RSDSS) grant from the California Department of Education – No Child Left Behind funds for the period July 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012
3. \$82,049 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (TUPE) Administration County Technical Assistance and Leadership grant from the California Department of Education for the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
4. \$56,647 Local Child Care Development Planning Council grant from the California Department of Education, Child Development Programs for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
5. \$382,598 Child Care Salary/Retention Program grant from the California Department of Education, Child Development Division for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012
6. \$271,952 California Preschool Instructional Network grant from the

California Department of Education, Federal Child Development funds for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

7. \$279,927 California Preschool Instructional Network Regional grant from the California Department of Education, Federal Child Development Funds for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

Ms. Brown complimented staff on their good work in obtaining these grants.

Mr. Scribner asked if we have seen or begun to see clauses regarding supplanting in these contracts coming from CDE (California Department of Education) for programs supported in part and sponsored by CDE and for which we have some cost factors as well.

Sue Stickel, Deputy Superintendent, replied that we have seen nothing new.

Tammy Sanchez, Assistant Superintendent, added that most contracts have language indicating that supplanting is not allowed.

VIII.D. Approval of the 2011-2012 Consolidated Application (Part I) for Funding Categorical Aid Programs for Juvenile Court, Community Schools and Special Education.

Tim Taylor, Assistant Superintendent, stated this item is our annual submission of the Consolidated Application which sends our data into CDE in order to receive our funding, which comes as early as December and as late as February.

President Fong said he thought this comes with a Part II application with a copy for the Board. Mr. Taylor said we usually send a Board Communication with the actual funds that we receive from the submission of this report.

Mr. Geeting said he noticed there was a typo on page VIII.D.2. – EC Secs. 540000: it should be 54000.

Mr. Geeting moved and Mr. Cooley seconded the motion to approve the 2011-2012 Consolidated Application (Part I) for Funding Categorical Aid Programs for Juvenile Court, Community Schools and Special Education. Motion carried unopposed.

VIII.E. Approval of the 2010-2011 Budget Revision No. 3

Ms. Sanchez provided background information.

Budget Committee Chair Jackie Levy moved to approve the 2010-2011 Budget Revision No. 3. Mr. Geeting seconded the motion.

Mr. Scribner said he has an amendment to the main motion. He stated that individual memberships should be brought to the Board for approval. He did a Public Records Act request a few months ago and found a number of memberships paid by SCOE that

were not directed specifically by a contract requirement nor connected to Collective Bargaining agreements. Given the financial considerations of this organization, he believes if someone is going to have SCOE pay for a membership, they should be prepared to make an argument to this Board.

President Fong asked if Mr. Scribner's motion is in relation to the current budget or next year's budget.

Mr. Scribner said he thought this motion was for the 2011-2012 budget.

President Fong clarified that the current motion is for the 2010-2011 budget revision.

Mr. Scribner stated he will wait for the next agenda item.

Motion to approve the 2010-2011 Budget Revision No. 3 carried unopposed.

VIII.F. President Fong opened the Public Hearing on the Adoption of the 2011-2012 Proposed Budget for the Sacramento County Office of Education at 7:00 p.m.

No speakers came forward and President Fong closed the Public Hearing at 7:01 p.m.

Ms. Sanchez provided updates on the 2011-2012 Budget.

President Fong asked whether we have spent all of our stimulus money and if we haven't what is our deadline to do so.

Ms. Sanchez replied that we have spent most of it but we are carrying some of it all the way to the deadline of September 30.

President Fong asked if it was possible for the Board to get a report on whose jobs are being saved with the stimulus monies.

Ms. Sanchez replied that she can provide FTE reports which wouldn't have employees' names on them.

President Fong said quite a while ago when we were looking at program improvement matters, we chose to bifurcate our offices into two different sections so that our ability to go out and do the work to help program improvement districts would not be affected. He asked if this budget accounts for that bifurcation.

Ms. Stickel stated the reason we bifurcated was so we could be a supplemental service provider because that's what the federal law says. We in fact are not a supplemental service provider. We chose not to do that. Though we are bifurcated, there's no real reason, by federal law, for that bifurcation any longer.

President Fong asked if we had the opportunity to rescind that. Ms. Stickel replied it doesn't have to be kept active or rescinded. It exists but it's not something we use right

now. We needed it for supplemental services at the time. This is preceding her, but SCOE applied to be a supplemental service provider; we were and now it's not work we want to continue to do.

President Fong said, he had asked in a previous meeting about options for our community schools plan in order to make choices or bring back jobs. The current proposal for 2011-2012, is based on 336 students, but in 2010-2011 we had 546 students. We are basically losing 221 students. He thinks there are jobs connected to that. There are families connected to this. We are saying the best we can do is to just get back to 336 students instead of looking for ways to bring back 546 and keep all of the jobs available to our staff. In the budget committee hearing, the possibilities of these different proposals were discussed, but he doesn't know if there was any interest among our employee organizations for furloughs during the summer. Staff presented that furloughs don't work. He had some thoughts about it after he left the meeting. A lot of programs don't operate during the summer, and we are waiting for grants so if people were furloughed, it wouldn't be like they're working anyway.

Ms. Sanchez responded that we discussed several options with our employee associations and furlough days was one of them. When we went through the math and the numbers and realized it didn't work, that was something we set aside.

President Fong then asked about programs that might be out there that we are not aware of, like the Juveniles At-Risk program. There are probably other programs that we could partner with. He was hoping to extend the entrepreneurial spirit that we have on our business section to our community schools – to keep the jobs we currently have. One idea was to partner with different groups to do one portion and we do the other portion.

Superintendent Gordon said we are always looking and always open to entrepreneurial opportunities. He thinks our track record speaks to that. We have a very effective community school program. We are more than willing to expand the programs we have now if we can be assured that we have a revenue stream to support the programs, because it does no good to promise somebody a job if we don't have the revenue to support the job over time. Secondly, we are more than open to any partnerships that will pan out for us financially and also from the standpoint of whether they fulfill our commitment for services to students. For example, a lot of places would be happy for us to do independent study with them but that's not what our districts want. Our districts don't want us to do independent study. They want a full service program such as what we do with LINKS. We will continue in any way that we can to try find those opportunities and add to the programs that we have already.

President Fong expressed concern that we are allowing districts to dictate our program rather than the County Board of Education. As far as he knows, the kids that have dropped out of school voluntarily or have been expelled from districts; they serve their time and haven't gone back to the district. He looked at a report from the UC Santa Barbara Dropout Center which says there are about 7,000 kids in Sacramento County who have dropped out of school, and that's a conservative estimate. He doesn't see them as being district kids. He believes this population deserves an education as much

as those who will attend a certain kind of charter school – very similar population – trying to close the achievement gap. He can't see why we would allow districts to dictate to us what they want us to do. Our Board should be able to express an opinion and direct staff to explore this as a means to 1) bring back all of our teachers and employees, and 2) serve this population of students. This Board, in one way, is required to serve them if they go into juvenile court but those not in juvenile court are those who have just dropped out altogether and are not going to school. The financial numbers on this are just astronomical – the lost revenue and lost productivity for these kids not going to school and graduating and becoming productive members of society.

Superintendent Gordon said we share your concern.

President Fong said he would like us to really look at this and ask staff for more options because he would find it difficult to support this budget otherwise.

Mr. Scribner said he very much appreciates the strength of Mr. Fong's conviction for these kids, but pointed out that we are trying to survive as an organization. What we are struggling with as an organization is trying to keep our doors open and keep programs continuing at least on a lifeline in the hope that we get some stability – an actual increase in some funding stream at some point. Our conundrum is we literally are losing money on each and every enrollment we have in this organization. It's like saying you are on a fixed income but Nordstrom's has a 20% off sale so spend money because it's on sale, but there's no money remaining. Yes, in a perfect world, we would want every one of these kids enrolled in programs; we want wrap-around services to give them a goal, to give them something to work for, but you've seen what's happened to our reserves so far, there's absolutely no reason to believe that's going to improve based on what we've seen in the budget or what you're likely about to see based on the hurry up from the controller's determination today on those education programs not assigned to Prop 98; we're losing on every one. It becomes a question of our organization's stability now. We are now literally called in to face that question as an organization and he is in total agreement with Mr. Fong in his desire to engage with these young people. Those are souls out there. We have some moral obligation to try to help, but our moral, personal and professional obligation to this organization is to try to protect it as well. For us to try to aggressively pull these kids in is saying we are going to continue to draw down our reserves at an ever accelerating rate in hopes that we get some stability in the 2012-2013 budget. He just needs to share his concern about our accelerating draw down on reserves, but also appreciates President Fong's position.

Mr. Cooley stated he supports what President Fong is saying. In the context of the budget item today he's not sure how it fits in. It's going to take a lot of engagement and negotiations for other folks outside of this organization to accomplish what you want to do. In the context of this budget item today, unless you want to delay voting on it, he doesn't see how we can work that in today. He wants to move the item today but doesn't think we should stop having the conversation.

Superintendent Gordon said he wants the Board to remember that some years ago we

did weigh the question of whether we wanted to run full service programs, meaning a full day of school with work experience and career technical education, or did we want to take the easy way out and run independent study. This Board was very clear and that's what prompted us to create the LINKS program in the first place because we felt we owed our kids more than a one hour per week program. Frankly, we have not sought to create independent study and we are happy to have that discussion further with the Board; but he thinks that's a very important philosophical point in terms of how we approach serving students, separate from what the districts may prefer.

President Fong said he doesn't want to disagree with the Superintendent, but he believes we currently operate independent study programs right now.

Superintendent Gordon replied we only operate independent study as a corollary to the full service program not as a substitute for it. That's important.

President Fong said that in terms of having proposals brought to us and finding ways to make these programs pencil out financially, he has talked to other people who say that using the same numbers that Tammy gave us, we can operate these programs with a surplus. He has looked at the numbers and our charges for certain things are much higher than a community-based organization's charges – so the ability to say this cost this much here, but in another setting it may cost something different – he was trying to reconcile the fact that if there are people that can do certain things cheaper and bring down the cost, it is one way to provide the service until things get better. He's not advocating to take away people's jobs, but he thinks there's a way to do something where we can still bring back many of our teachers and run a program with somebody partnering with them. He would like to see us apply for other grants that are available in juvenile justice on the federal registry. There are other people that can help us write and apply for supplemental grants to augment those things so that we can pay our teachers and other staff and bring them back. He wants to see if we can find a way to be that entrepreneurial.

Ms. Sanchez agreed with Mr. Fong and stated that we want to make sure that every kid that wants an education gets an education. What she brought to the Board at the last meeting was the list of everything that we looked at; those that worked went into the plan; those that didn't work didn't go into the plan. It's not that we didn't look at them; it's that they didn't work and we didn't put them into the plan. There are a lot of community-based organizations out there like the one you are referring to that say they can do it with less money. When you don't realize that you have to provide special education services, you may not realize you need to put that in your budget and so maybe those are the things that this organization needs to look at. And also, the revenue that we get for a community school is an expelled rate or a probation rate. If we are not working with our districts to get the expelled kids, we don't get that rate. We have to work with our districts to run the community schools to get the revenue limit rate. To look at another program we would get half of the revenue limit so we do look at all those things but maybe these organizations you're talking to don't realize all of the things we have to look at when we put a budget together.

Mr. Cooley said that some of these organizations are able to run their programs for less money than we run our programs, and that their employees are not in a collective bargaining unit.

Ms. Sanchez replied that some of these organizations do not have a collective bargaining unit or have never run a school before so they don't realize that we have to provide special education services – it's not an option.

Mr. Cooley said they pay their employees less; provide fewer benefits, and less retirement contributions.

Ms. Sanchez replied that charter schools can do that.

President Fong said in the discussions they were going to hire our teachers to do the work with the same amount of pay and benefits. There weren't going to hire their own teachers. They are going to hire our teachers to go there and teach with the same benefits and salaries and even pay for the principal. They said there was enough money to pencil all that in and still run the business. It's not about having the non-credentialed teachers teach there.

Mr. Cooley said he's not opposed to President Fong's point, but so far there is not a path to integrate that into this budget today. He asked if we integrate this in the budget today or do we hold up this budget. That's what he wants to know, otherwise, it's a waste of time.

President Fong said it's not a waste of time. The fact is that we are proposing a budget that's only going to bring back 336 students out of 546 last year. We are already admitting that we are going to lose 221 students right off the bat.

Superintendent Gordon asked if he could set the numbers straight on this. The number that you are quoting is the decrease in the juvenile court school which is from 289 to 145. That's a decrease which is beyond our control because we don't control the Probation Department cuts that have caused that drop in enrollment. So the cut right now here is from 125, 73 and 59 to the sum of 96 and 95. That is about 260 to 200 or so. We are talking about the possibility, for example, of Sac City giving us another site. We are likely to be pretty close to what we were doing this year if you take out the cut of which we had no control based on the juvenile hall reductions and service.

Mr. Geeting said he thinks there is a request to speak from a member of the public and he would like to hear from her. Then, following the public comment, he was wondering if the Budget Committee Chair might have a motion she would wish to bring forward related to this item.

Dawn McCarron stated she is a program analyst and has been a program analyst here at the Sacramento County Office of Education for thirteen years. Prior to that, she was a financial analyst in the grants department so she has about fifteen years of experience working with strictly the categorical special project money here at SCOE. There were

some comments made during this discussion about not much going on during the summer so maybe we can take some furlough days there. To help understand what the county office does, we have our school programs and those traditionally close down for a certain amount of time during the summer. Our Special Education sites and some of our community sites as well as the employees that work in those sites, operate on a shorter calendar in the classified unit alone calendars range anywhere from 180 to 244 (maximum) days. There wouldn't be any savings because nobody needs to be furloughed; they're not working anyway and not being paid for those days the sites are not in operation. In the administration and business offices the majority of the employees are on a 244 day calendar and contrary to what you may think, there is stuff going on all summer long. Those paid out of the general support budget, including our business office folks, are busy closing out the books and preparing for the new year. When she worked in Financial Services, if you interviewed for a financial services job, you were told not to expect to take vacation from Memorial Day to the first week of August. It's a critical time for the business office, which is paid out of the general support fund. That is also when our maintenance and operations, just like at any school district, gets to go around and fix things at the different sites so you really couldn't furlough the maintenance and operations people. The rest of us are special project money people. So whether you think we're doing anything or not, we are still getting paid out of the special project fund and if we don't get paid, if you furlough us, then that reduces the expenditures against which you calculate which results in a loss of revenue for the general support budget. When you keep talking about getting more students, it's been shown many times at these different meetings that we are losing hundreds of dollars for every single student we serve in the community schools. If we go out and get more kids, that just means more money to lose right now. Our reserve is losing money at a critical rate, more so than a traditional school district. At this county office of education our reserve fund is incredibly important. It's a cash flow issue. She is willing to bet money that your bank does not let you write checks by showing a stack of papers saying you've got these promises, these notes from these people – if she goes out and spends the money they're going to send her a check to put in your bank account because that's how we operate. When you see all these contracts and congratulate us on all these efforts we've made to bring in these projects it's not like publishers' clearing house. Nobody shows up in a van with balloons and a giant check. We have to spend the majority of these things; we have to spend the money first and then we submit a quarterly invoice and report and that's reviewed and that all takes time so the money is already out the door as far as our bank's concerned before we ever get reimbursed by CDE or whatever the funding agency is and to tell you the truth, dealing with CDE can be really tough because they'll change the rules. They'll say we didn't encumber that on our books this way so we can't pay you. We've had departments that have had to sue CDE to get the reimbursement that their contract said they were entitled to. We all are working creatively until somebody comes up with a time machine that we can all travel forward to find out exactly what's going to happen with the budget and how the state is going to fund us. Budgets are a best guess and our staff puts in a lot of time and they are not just pulling numbers out of the air. They're looking at the patterns and everybody's paying attention to what's going on down at the capitol, looking at trends, and taking all that data and putting it all together to make the best possible projection that they can short of having a crystal ball. The other thing is when you start talking

about not being sure about approving this budget, the staff wanted to bring this budget for approval at an earlier meeting but were asked to move it to this meeting and staff did give the warning that we're looking at a critical deadline as far as adoption of the projected budget for 2011-2012. The clock is ticking. If you want us in operation so we can even get out and get started she urges you to support this budget and give staff the credit that they are due.

Ms. Brown said she wanted to comment on the implication that there has not been any creativeness exerted in developing this program. She thinks the difficulty in developing a program in which you aren't free to do exactly what you want and you have to look at a million different rules and regulations, is that you have to bring many, many aspects that only end up as one program, but it means you've explored many, many areas; how to use ROP differently; how to use Special Education funds differently; how to use Title I; can we talk with the associations to see if we can modify something; how do we do the school day. It's a little misleading to say only one program when you have had to tap so many different areas in order to get to that one program. She's a little more comfortable than you are because she was anxious to see two or three proposals as well, but we in essence do have that, it's just resulting in one program. She thinks the concern about not having spent enough time to explore is valid to her thinking at this point. It doesn't mean we would stop. We look all the time and if somebody's out there with a wonderful program and wants to come and talk to us about it, she thinks they would get a very fine reception with lots of listening folks to do that. She just wants to verify that what is one program has really tapped many, many different educational areas and try to convince many, many different people that we can all be out of the box and do things a little differently.

Dan Leonard said he feels your pain down to his feet because he's been in this negotiation for many years. To clarify some points here, we are in ratification right now; ballots are out. He dropped his in the mail last week so he hopes by the end of the month we will have the agreement ratified by a large majority of our members. It is as good as we can get. The other issue he feels is real important is that everyone knows that the county office of education and administration and the Board support education and are looking at creative ideas. We've talked about them at the table; we dream about them at night; we are looking for anything that we can get to keep our teachers because he just got his letter last week and he's grateful for it, but he works with a lot of people that he probably won't see again unless he runs into them at the shopping center because they are going to be out and they are fine teachers. He knows that Tim Taylor is one of the hardest working men at SCOE; he's kind of the James Brown, one of the hardest working men in show business because he's out there beating the districts for money and for kids. We've got good programs and the districts realize that. He hopes that you approve this budget; it's not perfect but as Mr. Scribner said, we don't even know what's coming down tomorrow. We've got kids to serve and if we don't have a budget, we can't serve any kids in community school. He supports the budget as presented.

Budget Committee Chair Jackie Levy provided additional information on the 2011-2012 Proposed Budget.

Budget Committee Chair Jackie Levy moved to adopt the 2011-2012 Proposed Budget; Mr. Cooley seconded the motion.

Mr. Scribner stated we've engaged in a philosophical discussion of the budget and now he would like to tend to some of the mechanical issues that the Board may want to consider as we proceed. With respect to the Public Records Act request that he made months ago, he looked at personnel contracts, travel, and memberships to see what we were doing given we're doing all these layoffs. He had a concern about whether the Board had a full appreciation for the number of personnel contracts and when we might be able to review them, and whether these couldn't be more appropriately served by existing staff, and whether given our financial considerations we might want to set some of those aside because the demands for those positions might not still be there to the level we had based on prior grants or the amounts of the prior grants. With respect to memberships we may want to take a look at the membership lists whether they be charities for \$3,000, other groups such as Project LEED on which we spent \$71,000 last year, or individual memberships for folks. If they are tied in with their contracts or collective bargaining agreements, then they are memberships that the Board stands by. He thinks personnel contracts should come through this Board, as well as memberships. If somebody wants SCOE to pay for a membership, let them make the argument to the Board because that's the organization's money. A related issue, which this Board should receive a report on, is travel costs. SCOE has a huge number of legitimate travel expenses for this organization which are related to contracts. He thanked the individuals who helped compile this report. He feels the Board should review travel requests and determine if it is the best expenditure of SCOE funds. He is asking that the personnel contracts, travel and memberships come through this Board to make sure we get a chance to review and approve them. He asked, with the Chair's agreement, to add that concession and determine whether there's a motion this Board can embrace that would include this.

Mr. Cooley asked whether Mr. Scribner intended for this concession to be ongoing.

Mr. Scribner replied yes.

Mr. Cooley asked whether personnel contracts currently come before the Board.

Mr. Scribner replied he's not sure of that and he doesn't know if we have a written policy relative to that. He stated it is his sense that the total number of contracts to come through this Board has markedly declined over the last several years. He thinks the Superintendent or business office could answer that more succinctly than he could.

Mr. Cooley asked Mr. Scribner to confirm that it is his intention to have all personnel contracts and travel come before the Board, given the budgetary climate.

Mr. Scribner replied that he thinks contracts are an appropriate item to be placed before this Board for approval. As for travel, he's not asking for this Board to approve the travel, he's simply saying we should be aware of it, to provide a degree of transparency and accountability.

Mr. Cooley seconded the motion.

Mr. Scribner said it's not a slam by any means anywhere.

Superintendent Gordon stated he feels quite blindsided by this because he has never had this discussion with Mr. Scribner or any other Board member. He is concerned about the balance between the Board setting policy and the Board micro-managing down to the level of individual trips and travel. He doesn't know if that is intended but when he hears an allusion to too many people going to this place or that place, he hasn't had that discussion with anybody and he doesn't know if that is correct or not. With respect to contracts, there are already policies on which contracts come to the Board. He thinks these other things could spill over into micro-managing, which he doesn't think is healthy for the organization. What he would propose to the Board is to see this discussion remanded to the Policy Committee where we could have a thoughtful discussion of what level the Board should get involved and decide what things should come to the Board and when and in what form. That would make him much more comfortable.

Ms. Brown said she would reinforce that idea. She was just thinking that she would be uncomfortable with staff coming to the Board to ask for travel if she didn't know what the guidelines were. She thinks it would be a good idea in areas like this to express what the Board feels is legitimate for travel and memberships. That way when someone makes a travel decision, they have to, in their own mind, justify it. Then we aren't micro-managing each little one, but at least we know what the policy is and the general culture that we want to develop in the district.

Mr. Cooley said it's the practice of this Board to approve Board member travel. He understands Mr. Scribner's request for staff to do the same.

Ms. Brown asked if we have had that on the agenda.

Mr. Cooley replied he believes it has been on past agendas.

Ms. Sanchez added that is only if the travel is out of state.

Mr. Cooley said he doesn't know why we would hold staff to a different standard. Is there a dollar limit for personnel contracts to come to the Board? He asked whether Marty has a personnel contract pending.

Superintendent Gordon said in terms of the travel, for many of our employees, it is their job to travel; it is their work to travel. For people to come to the Board to get approval for the things that they need for their day to day job doesn't make sense to him.

Mr. Scribner said we are having a discussion on an item he didn't propose. He did not ask for approval of travel. He asked for a periodic report on travel that has occurred. He is very sensitive to the issue of micro-managing and he's not asking for it.

Superintendent Gordon asked how do we set up a policy and a practice that clearly identifies what the Board desires in a travel policy. He thinks it's the province of the Policy Committee to clarify on behalf of the Board and to him all of these areas, whether memberships or travel or contracts. Then it's gone through a thoughtful discussion, not just with him but with staff; staff is responsible for managing individual staff members. He thinks this would be better than attaching it, at the eleventh hour, as a rider without any prior discussion with him or staff.

Mr. Cooley said the Superintendent should not view it as a blindsiding because this is a timely conversation.

Superintendent Gordon said he does feel blindsided. Today is the 21st of June and he returned to work on the 1st of June. There has been ample time to hold this conversation prior to this meeting. What he's trying to do is determine the best way for us to be clear with one another about what is expected, in terms of practices for approving things and reporting them to the Board. If we put it in writing or in a policy and have a thoughtful discussion, then everybody is clear on what is expected. What he's trying to do is to put it in a thoughtful context – rather than as an eleventh hour rider to the budget – to give us a chance to have a thoughtful discussion.

Mr. Rivas said he is sensitive to the issue that Trustee Scribner is raising and asked if Trustee Scribner would be willing to move this to the Policy Committee and report back to the full Board. It seems like a constructive approach.

Mr. Scribner said it's unfortunate that it's considered blindsiding, but the budget is the ultimate policy document of any organization. He moves to instruct the Policy Committee to develop a set of Board Policies or Bylaws and with Administration, develop the appropriate ARRs to implement that personnel contracts shall come before this Board for approval, and furthermore, stipulated that memberships shall come to this Board for approval and that the Policy Committee shall also develop a policy or bylaw that reports on travel shall be provided, purely for review and not approval, on a quarterly basis. He will give maximum flexibility in this motion as to whether to eliminate mileage because that's what the bulk of travel was. That would be his motion – maximum flexibility of Policy Committee retains the authority of this organization to have those items come before this Board and mandates no approval authority on the travel for other members of this organization beyond this Board but simply provides transparency that any organization should expect and that is his amendment to the main motion. Mr. Cooley seconded the amendment to the main motion.

President Fong stated there is an amendment to the main motion and a second to the amendment and asked if further discussion was needed.

Ms. Levy said she made the original motion and she does not agree with the amendment. She asked what the procedure is in this case.

Mr. Cooley said if you don't accept the amendment he thinks it can't be tied onto your motion.

Ms. Levy said if Mr. Scribner wants to make a separate motion that's fine, but she doesn't want the amendment as part of her motion to adopt the budget.

Mr. Scribner said his amended motion is to replace the original motion.

Mr. Cooley said there was a motion on the floor to approve the budget and the second needs to come from the committee. Mr. Scribner has a motion to replace the original motion.

Mr. Scribner said it can be a hostile motion if the maker of the motion wishes to determine it to be hostile, but every Board member has the standing to offer an amendment to any main motion as long as there is a second.

Mr. Cooley seconded the motion.

President Fong said there's been a substitute motion to replace the main motion and it's been seconded. Now we will continue with our discussions on the substitute amended motion.

Ms. Brown asked for clarification on whether the Board is to vote on this substitute motion instead of Ms. Levy's motion to approve the budget.

President Fong confirmed Ms. Levy's motion was substituted and the substitute was seconded.

Ms. Brown asked if Ms. Levy's motion is still on the floor or whether she will have to make a new motion.

Mr. Scribner said he was going to word it as such since the maker of the primary motion had an objection. The fact is every Board member has a right in any organization to offer an amendment to attach to the main motion, and provided there is a second it has standing. He will reassert that instead so it's cleaner and simpler for everyone to understand and then we can have the discussion on the amendment and vote it up or down. And if you vote up then it becomes attached as part of the main motion; if you vote it down it is set aside and you're back to the original motion.

Ms. Brown asked if they are now arguing the motion.

President Fong said we can have discussion on it.

Ms. Brown said she politely offers disagreement with that motion because she thought our discussion was about moving this to the Policy Committee to discuss guidelines for travel. She's uncomfortable voting on that motion because she's not sure she's heard everybody's thoughts on good policy for travel or memberships. She stated she would speak against the motion.

President Fong said it seems like Ms. Brown agrees with Mr. Scribner.

Ms. Brown said Mr. Scribner wants a report on travel; she doesn't want a report. She wants to refer this to the Policy Committee.

Mr. Cooley said Mr. Scribner's motion directs the Policy Committee to make this evaluation.

Mr. Rivas said what he hears Dr. Brown saying is that she is not certain that we need a policy on reporting travel.

Ms. Brown said she is uncertain about the reporting part; she's more concerned with the policy guidelines.

Mr. Scribner said he takes her comments positively and if she would like to have that separated from the amendment he is more than glad to do so. His whole point was not to mandate since there are other policies, just to make the Board aware of what's occurring. We have policies in place and ARRs in place, which are simply to make Board members aware. Trustee Brown has requested that and he respects her wishes; if the second agrees to it, unless there are any other objections, he will withdraw the travel section of his offer.

President Fong clarified that Mr. Scribner wanted to keep the other two parts.

Mr. Scribner replied yes.

Mr. Cooley restated that Mr. Scribner has withdrawn his recommendation that the Policy Committee evaluate travel.

Mr. Scribner replied he would unless Mr. Cooley wants to put up a separate motion if other Board members are more comfortable doing that.

Mr. Cooley said before he accepts, he wants to hear from the Board members. All that is being asked is that the Policy Committee come up with a policy to evaluate travel. It seems harmless to him.

Mr. Rivas said he heard the request for the Policy Committee to develop a policy for reporting travel to the Board.

Mr. Cooley commented that seems pretty harmless to him also.

President Fong said that Trustee Brown is asking for an actual policy on travel which is different.

Mr. Rivas expressed some hesitancy and is wary of shooting from the hip, so to speak. He thinks we are all sensitive to what is being asked, for transparency purposes, but he wants to think it through. We trust the Superintendent to be our Chief Executive; one of the responsibilities of that is to manage people and make decisions about professional development and how to motivate people and he wants to think through – what kind of

constraints this would place on him. That's why he's reluctant to direct the Policy Committee to develop policies so specific.

Mr. Scribner replied that is why he is directing it to the Policy Committee rather than legislating it.

Ms. Brown emphasized that her concern is if there is going to be a response to a report then those writing the report and those reading the report need to know and understand the same guidelines.

Mr. Scribner replied that he heard her message and took it out.

Mr. Cooley said the goal is to come up with criteria about how that information is evaluated. He doesn't think Trustee Scribner is trying to say that this information is good or bad; his point is that the Policy Committee will come up with the guidelines.

Mr. Scribner said we have removed the travel issue from the motion.

President Fong asked do you want to accept that.

Mr. Scribner said we can put it up as a separate amendment depending upon how the Board feels.

Mr. Cooley said he can accept that.

President Fong said what we have on the table now is a directive to the Policy Committee to develop a policy on personnel contracts and memberships. That is what he understood as the Superintendent's request.

Superintendent Gordon added that his request was that it be referred to the Policy Committee to not necessarily develop a policy, but to consider whether a policy was needed and have discussions with him and the staff.

Mr. Geeting asked if President Fong could clarify again what the amendment is as there have been two slightly different descriptions.

President Fong said the amendment is to have personnel contracts and memberships referred to the Policy Committee to develop a policy and ARR's. And the superintendent is asking something a little different from that. It's for the Policy Committee to consider whether or not we would like to have policies.

Mr. Geeting asked if it could come to the policy committee to consider and as necessary develop policies.

President Fong said it would be a friendly amendment.

Mr. Cooley said as the second to the motion, he thinks it takes away from Mr. Scribner's intention of the motion. Mr. Scribner's motion says given the economic climate that

we're in and the uncertainty going forward with this budget, he feels strongly that we need to discuss creating a policy that is going to address personnel contracts and memberships. Mr. Cooley agrees with him and that's why he seconded it. We need to have a better comprehensive policy to address those items and he thinks Mr. Scribner's desire is to direct the Policy Committee to come up with a policy to address those. If other Board members feel differently they have the ability to vote. He would rather move the issue right now.

Superintendent Gordon said he would like to make one clarification on that. His concern as he heard Mr. Scribner's comments about the memberships, personnel contracts, and the travel is that there was an element of innuendo in there. He has not had this discussion with anyone about whether there's abuse or misuse and he feels that we are entitled, before people compel policies to be developed, to go through the facts and see if there are reasons why a policy is needed and that's why he feels strongly that it should be considered as Mr. Geeting appropriately said.

Mr. Cooley said he doesn't believe there are any innuendos in there. He would like to get on to the budget vote and the district discussion. He will vote the way he's going to vote, others can vote the way they want to vote and then we can move forward.

Mr. Scribner said to address Mr. Geeting's question – he's totally silent as to the threshold.

President Fong asked Mr. Scribner to clarify that for some of us.

Mr. Scribner said the motion was silent as to whether or not there was a financial threshold that the policy committee would consider on what comes before the Board to offer a response.

Mr. Geeting indicated that if anyone is looking for a response he has no problem with the Policy Committee considering anything. He's uncomfortable with it being directed to do any specific task because as we get in and discuss it we may decide that the direction was not an appropriate direction. That is his only concern.

President Fong said systematically we're trying to update the policies of the Board. It may be a good idea to look at all memberships and all personnel contracts to determine if anything can be eliminated or done in-house. He would prefer not to get into the discussion of micro-managing and being blindsided; he senses we are in a tug of war over something that is really not necessary. He thinks what we are trying to do is to protect the financial standing of SCOE. He stated he is somewhat offended that this conversation has taken more time than talking about the kids that he was talking about earlier.

Mr. Cooley stated that he can support Mr. Geeting's changes which he thinks are reasonable. We are going to ask the Policy Committee if it's needed to evaluate these two items but his stipulation would be make it three items by adding travel back in and he can support Mr. Geeting's suggestion. He thinks that's a fair trade off.

President Fong said the third item is not on the table. It's basically two items that are on the table.

Mr. Cooley said he's glad that the issue has been raised by Trustee Scribner. If we want to insert language about whether this review is needed he can support and modify that to move this issue and discussion. If you want to say let's look at it to see if it is needed, you might as well throw all three in there.

Mr. Scribner said he would be open to that but he wants to make sure it's in a timely manner and doesn't get delayed into the next calendar year.

Mr. Cooley said it sounds like he could support adding that third one and adding the language if needed. He thinks we have four votes.

Mr. Scribner said if it's permissive to policy.

Mr. Geeting said on travel he will have to defer to Trustee Brown.

President Fong asked Mr. Scribner if he is willing to modify his motion to say that it will be referred to Policy Committee to decide whether or not there is a need for a policy on personnel contracts, travel and memberships.

Mr. Scribner said yes.

President Fong asked Mr. Scribner to go ahead and amend his motion.

Mr. Scribner stated to strike the previous motion with the maker of the motion withdrawing and second agreeing. He would like to amend the primary motion as follows: to direct the Policy Committee to consider whether there is a need for policies relative to Board approval of personnel contracts, bringing travel reports to the Board for review, and memberships for when it's appropriate to bring memberships to the Board for approval.

President Fong asked Trustee Brown if it is okay for travel with reports as opposed to actual policies like the others because he heard her say she wanted a policy on travel.

Ms. Brown said that's fine.

Mr. Cooley said he's good with it also.

Mr. Scribner called for the question and the roll call.

President Fong asked Recording Secretary Carla Miller to read the motion.

Ms. Miller read as follows: go to Policy Committee to consider whether there is a need for policies relative to Board approval of personnel contracts and memberships, and to bring travel reports to the Board.

Mr. Scribner claimed that personnel contracts and memberships would be whether or not to consider a policy requiring Board approval permissive and that the Policy Committee would consider some type of policy on whether it's appropriate to bring reports on travel to the Board for review.

Mr. Rivas asked if this was linked to the budget.

Mr. Scribner replied it's still the amendment. We're not on the main one yet.

President Fong stated this is amending the budget recommendation from the budget committee.

Mr. Scribner said it's just this issue right now.

Ms. Levy asked if this gets approved is it part of the main motion.

Mr. Scribner confirmed it is part of the main motion.

The motion to amend the original motion carried 6 ayes, 1 no (Levy) on the following roll call vote:

Mr. Scribner – yes

Mr. Rivas – yes

Ms. Levy – no

Mr. Geeting – yes

Mr. Cooley – yes

Ms. Brown – yes

President Fong – yes

The motion to adopt the 2011-2012 Budget, with the above amendment, carried unanimously.

VIII.G. Tammy Sanchez, Assistant Superintendent, provided an update on District Budgets.

IX.A. Ms. Levy reported that she enjoyed attending as many graduations as her schedule would allow her to. Graduation is one of the single most important things we do, especially for some of the students we have; their high school diplomas are hugely meaningful to them. A lot of them are the first in their families to get a diploma. It was an honor and a pleasure to be at those ceremonies. She wants to congratulate the teachers and other staff that work with these students. It reminds us of the real reason that we're here. She was thinking that each of us as a Board member could make some type of token sacrifice to improve SCOE's financial standing. Whatever we give up isn't going to make a six figure difference but it shows our commitment that we feel the pain of our employees and we want to do what we can. She would just ask her fellow Trustees to consider some of things and it certainly doesn't need to be made public but you could take a partial salary reduction; you could not ask for reimbursement

for some of your mileage, hotel or conference expenses, or you could not use all the benefits that you are entitled to. Those are just a few things that came to her mind. We get paid \$400 a month so it's not a huge amount of money, but she thinks we could all make some type of a token agreement, not with ourselves, just between the Board and the Superintendent. She has done a little bit of all those things and she would encourage her fellow Trustees to do the same.

Mr. Scribner reported that the legislature is under immense pressure. They are losing \$500 per day, each and every one of them. Their salary and per diem are not being delayed; they are now being forfeited. There are a number of those members that do rely on their paychecks. There are some serious hardships being experienced now by some of those members who believe they are doing the right thing because by and large whether you agree or disagree with their philosophies, he thinks they are pretty straight up people who are trying to do what they believe is right and they are now taking some real hits. Even at the quickest pace, you probably won't see it before the 28th, so they will have taken a \$5,000 or \$6,000 hit. He's hopeful that those cuts don't go any deeper, but he wouldn't be surprised if they did.

Ms. Brown echoed what Trustee Levy said about the graduations; she was only able to attend one. It is impressive how seriously the staff takes those graduation ceremonies in that the environment and preparation is exceptional so students and parents alike feel very special, as they should. It also reinforced her appreciation for flexible programs that allow students that might need more time than others to come around and fulfill a desire that many may not have been able to otherwise.

Mr. Cooley thanked the Board for a great discussion on the budget. He thinks we really started that discussion back in January and we've had a number of great study sessions and also committee meetings on the budget. He thinks as an organization we have gotten in front of our budget and thinks that's why we've got the best budget that we could have gotten. He thanked staff, the two collective bargaining units, and the Board for their participation in the budget process. We as an organization historically put a lot of priority in this process and take our financial responsibility seriously and he thinks Trustee Scribner's motion this evening is a continuation of that but he wants to thank the organization for staying in front of this issue. We had a great Redistricting Committee meeting this afternoon. He thinks the drafts that will be shared in July will be some good drafts for consideration.

Mr. Rivas reported a couple of weeks ago that he participated in the graduation of the Northern California Construction Trades (NCCT) Pre-apprentice Program. Tim Taylor and Trustee Geeting were also there. He really enjoyed it. He delivered a few remarks and learned that SCOE contributes financially to support the program. Quite a few of the students are SCBC clients; people who have been in trouble with the law trying to find a way to make a living. It was very touching because the people who run the program gave a car away to one of the participants and he learned it wasn't a new car, it was a used car, but the young man who won it came on the bus with his mother because they didn't have a car and he was very touched by that. He enjoyed it. Tim was a tough act to follow; he gave some very inspiring remarks. It was a lot of fun.

Mr. Geeting reported that participating in the ceremonies for GED, Chiropractic, NCCT, and the SCBC clients was remarkable. We were reminded yesterday by the featured speaker, Department of Corrections Deputy Director, Elizabeth Siggins, of the astonishing success of SCBC. We must never lose sight of that. She shared a statistic that when SCBC clients get beyond the 340 day window – recidivism is virtually zero – if they will stick with it that long. That is remarkable! He attended a retirement ceremony here at SCOE for one of our valued employees, Pat Duckhorn, who most recently was very instrumental with the academic content standards commission and successfully helped us steer the path of compromise between California's already very solid mathematic standards and the national common core. He wanted to talk about American Sabor. Trustee Levy, Trustee Rivas, and he attended the opening of the American Sabor which is an event celebrating Latinos in US popular music. It is a very lively kickoff event, but there are events throughout the remainder of June and through July and the beginning of August both at the downtown library and at several of the regional libraries in Sacramento County. He did attend one of the parent guardian information and student enrollment sessions for the Fortune School. He planned to sit in the back and observe and say nothing, but before the start time rolled around he surrendered his seat to people who had come there and planned on sitting on the floor in a standing room only crowd. The general plan was that Margaret Fortune provided a little bit of background information, but most of the session was Herinder Pegany providing the specific information. Here are three points that Mr. Pegany made that really resonated with the people who were sitting around the area where Mr. Geeting was: (1) the high expectations and specifically the idea that every classroom will have class of (year) over the door way. For example, this coming year the kindergarten classrooms will say class of 2028, which is not the high school graduation date but rather the year in which these kindergarteners will graduate from a four-year institution of higher education. It's our constant reminder to ourselves of what the goal is and everything that we do moves us towards this objective. One of the women who is a mother with a little kid running around suddenly realized what he was saying and she had to dive into her purse to find some Kleenex to dab away the tears; (2) the increase in instructional time. The state minimum is 36,000 minutes for kindergarten; Fortune School will provide 58,080 minutes (60% more), grades 1 and 2 will receive 68,640 minutes instead of 50,400 (36% higher) and 3rd graders 71,280 minutes (about 41% above the state minimum). Mr. Pegany put it another way too, for the kindergarteners, it's as though we cover all the kindergarten standards by March and from there we're able to give them a boost so they don't fall behind – that's the critical element; and (3) teachers would be available onsite from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. every instructional day and by cell phone until 7:00 p.m. each evening. In addition to the grades, assignments will always be available online through a secure website. Parents have all their questions answered whenever they need the information. Then Mr. Pegany looked out at the group and made eye contact with everybody and he said he guarantees no one will out work them. The California County Boards of Education (CCBE) organization has decided to establish two working groups; one on charter schools and one on funding of court and community schools. He believes Trustee Rivas has volunteered.

Mr. Rivas added that it would be subject to his boss's approval.

Mr. Geeting said he volunteered to work on the charter schools' committee. If anyone has questions on either of these working groups, please contact him or Mr. Rivas.

IX.B. President Fong reported as we get closer to the close of our academic year, and the graduation of our students here at SCOE, it's a great thing to see our students. The parents/guardians are proud to watch their kids graduate. As we end the year, he wants to thank again our staff, our teachers, the Superintendent and the Board for keeping our focus on educating kids. It's interesting that Trustee Geeting talked about how college graduation is for everybody. A lot of people say graduating from college isn't for everybody; that we should channel kids to vocational training schools. He believes that every kid can get through college. He just read an article in the paper that even the adults in prison get access to an excellent college education from places like Berkeley and Harvard that's telecommunicated into the prison system. Some people say not everyone can go to college, but he believes that everybody can go to college if they want to and the opportunity is there. He's really glad that this particular charter tells students that date is your graduation from a four year college. It's something that reminds people it's not just for those people, it's for you too; the ability to get through the educational system and go onto college.

IX.C. Redistricting Committee Chair Scribner stated that packets will be distributed to the Board along with a recommendation and the other plans that are being taken into consideration. He and committee are looking forward to the Public Hearing and the input whether or not we need to make any more changes. He added that we will have to open up a Public Hearing at that meeting.

President Fong reminded the Board members that they have a request for their availability for a Board Retreat and asked them to get their information to staff so that the possible facilitators will have a firm date to see if they are available.

X.A. There was no distribution of the June/July Events item.

X.B. There was no distribution of the June/July Site Visits item.

XI. Schedule for Future Board Meetings:
A. July 12, 2011 – Annual Organizational Meeting

XII. Mr. Cooley moved to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Levy seconded the motion, which carried. The meeting adjourned at 9:22 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David W. Gordon
Secretary to the Board

Date approved: August 16, 2011